



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,588 11/28/2003		Laurence B. Boucher	ALA-025	9422
24501 7	590 04/03/2006		EXAMINER	
MARK A LAUER			LIN, WEN TAI	
6601 KOLL CENTER PARKWAY SUITE 245		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PLEASANTON, CA 94566			2154	

DATE MAILED: 04/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/724,588	BOUCHER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Wen-Tai Lin	2154		

Advisory Action	10/124,566	BOOGNEN ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Wen-Tai Lin	2154	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	L		ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			, 000
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compared to the compar	on the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
following time periods:	data of the final actual		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENIMENTS. 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
<u>AMENDMENTS</u> 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brid	f will not be entered	hecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or	itter form for appear by materially re	sadoring or simplifying	1 (1) 133463 101
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jected claims.	
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected to <u>none</u> .		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8, 10-14, 16-42</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	• • •	
		Wen-Tai Lin Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2154	Whi Jan L

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguement is not persuasive, therefore the rejection stands.

Specifically, Applicant maintains that the prior art (Bennett) is not enabled even after the examiner pointed out in the previous Advisory Action that there is a retransmission mechanism preventing Bennett's network card from acknowledging lost packets. To revisit the issue, Applicant is directed to lines 3-5 at page 4 of the recent remarks quoting Comer's article: "The sender keeps a record of each packet it sends and waits for an acknowledgment before sending the next packet ...". Thus, as long as the ACK packet is sent out in response to valid pakcet been received (see, e.g., Bennett: col.12, lines 7-11), the above hand-shaking type of protocol would not yield any lost packet been incorrectly acknowledged.

Applicant is reminded that the above opinion had been conveyed to Applicant's representative, Mr. Lauer, on March 17, 2006 over a telephone interview, during which the examiner also offered suggestions regarding possible ways to overcome the prior art of record. As such, it is believed that this enablement issue has been clearly clarified.